Message	
From:	Farak, Sonja (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-
	01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SONJA.FARAK]
Sent:	9/29/2010 6:34:45 PM
То:	Desroches, Neil (NWD) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-
	01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=NEIL.DESROCHES]
Subject:	RE: v JT on 9/30/10
Hi Neil-	
the ADA wr trial. Would anything chai	the clarification with the numbers (my guess is that was a prior arrest???). As for my case in Pittsfield, ote me: "Based on what I can gather about the case for tomorrow, there is a chance it could be resolved without a I it be alright to have you on-call?" So I'll be on call tomorrow for Pittsfield (and probably not need to go), so should nge with your case and you need me in Orange, there is a decent chance that I will be available, though I am not sure ca's schedule is. Please just keep me informed what is going on Thanks.
-Sonja	
Sonja Farak Drug Analysi	is lah
w. (48 / 11 m. / 3	
Sent: Tues To: Farak, S Subject: R Sonja, I car involving po	roches, Neil (NWD) day, September 28, 2010 9:55 PM Sonja (DPH) E: v
	e attorney brought the cases forward to tomorrow to tender a plea (which is largely agreed), so I will let you on as I hear the result.
Sent: Tues To: Desrock Subject: R	ok, Sonja (DPH) day, September 28, 2010 1:20 PM hes, Neil (NWD) E: v.
Neil-	
So I think I'v	e got this right the drug lab numbers needed for trial are:
0.	(def. listed as chemist = Rebecca)

chemist = Sonja)

, chemist = Sonja)? Is this submission part of the case? Thanks for your help.

(def. listed as

(def. listed as

What about

From: Desroches, Neil (NWD)
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2010 5:48 PM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Subject: RE: v. Subject: V. Subject: The subject of the subj
Hi Sonja, first, I apologize on Dave's behalf for his lack of repsonsiveness, I really appreciate you're being on top of this
issue and making us aware.
and making do aware.
I believe most of the confusion comes from the fact that the drugs submitted under Jordon Stone are, were seized as part
of the same execution of a search warrant. Technically, we would probably need both you and Rebecca to testify, but, I
understand how impractical that is and I'm sure I can work something out. This may all be a moot point, though, because
the defense attorney contacted me today and I believe a resolution short of trial is likely. I will keep you posted.
Thank you!!!
From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2010 11:13 AM
To: Desroches, Neil (NWD)
Subject: FW: v. JT on 9/30/10
Neil-
I just found out that you will be the ADA in Orange this Thursday (Sept. 30, 2010) taking over for David Lemasa on the
case. I've been trying to get in touch with Dave for about a month and a half regarding this case, and am hopeful that I
will be able to get my questions answered from you. Please see the forwarded message that I sent him on August 5th. If you need
to contact me, you can always email me or give me a call at the lab (413-545-2607). Thanks.
-Sonja
Sonja Farak
Drug Analysis Lab
From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Thursday, August 05, 2010 11:08 AM
To: Lemasa, David (NWD)
Subject: v.
Hi Dave-
I received your summons for the trail scheduled for September 30, 2010 and I have a few
questions/comments. First, the Drug Certificate numbers listed on the summons are
listed on the first number () is listed as , but I was not the chemist that preformed the analysis on this sample
(Rebecca Pontes is). Also submitted the same day by the Athol police were (def. =, chemist =
Rebecca). As for the second number (, the lab does not have a submission for that number (or any number above for
that matter). I looked up submission thinking that it might be a typo, and, although I am the chemist for that number, the
defendant was listed as a large (as well as a large transfer of the defendants name (large transfer of the defendants name). Finally I looked up the defendants name (large transfer of the defendants name)
and found one other submission that had that name on it which I had analyzed (With all this stated, would you mind
clearing up for me which drug lab certificate numbers are actually involved with this case?

Second, I may have a conflict on September 30 as I am suppose to be in Pittsfield District Court that day, though it is early and things may change (note as of Sept. 27th = the Pittsfield case is still on).
Thanks.
-Sonja
Sonja Farak Drug Analysis Lab